Case 12-32118 Filed 07/02/14 Doc 1613

ı	I	
	3	
1	MARC A. LEVINSON (STATE BAR NO. 57613) malevinson@orrick.com	
2	NORMAN Č. HILE (STATE BAR NO. 57299) nhile@orrick.com	
3	PATRICK B. BOCASH (STATE BAR NO. 262763) pbocash@orrick.com	
4	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000	
5	Sacramento, California 95814-4497 Telephone: +1-916-447-9200	
6	Facsimile: +1-916-329-4900	
7	Attorneys for Debtor City of Stockton	
8		
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SACRAMENTO DIVISION	
12		
13	In re:	Case No. 2012-32118
14	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,	D.C. No. BB-001
15	Debtor.	Chapter 9
16		ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION BETWEEN THE CITY OF STOCKTON
17		AND THE COALITION FOR A SUSTAINABLE DELTA AND OTHER
18		PARTIES FOR LIMITED RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY
19		Date: July 1, 2014
20		Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept: Courtroom 35
21		Judge: Hon. Christopher M. Klein
22		
23	The Court has considered (a) the Motion of the Coalition for a Sustainable Delta and	
24	Other Parties for Limited Relief from Automatic Stay Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1)	
25	("Motion") filed June 12, 2014 [Dkt. No. 1576], by the Coalition for a Sustainable Delta,	
26	Belridge Water Storage District, Berrenda Mesa Water District, Cawelo Water District, North of	
27	the River Municipal Water District, Wheeler Ridge-Maricopa Water Storage District, and Dee	
28	Dillon (collectively, "Movants"); (b) the pleadings and declaration filed in support of the Motion;	

and (c) the Stipulation Between the City and the Movants for Limited Relief from the Automatic Stay filed June 30, 2014 ("Stipulation").

The Court has determined that (a) the relief agreed upon in the Stipulation is proper under the circumstances such that the Stipulation should be approved; and (b) the Motion was sufficient to afford reasonable notice of the material provisions of the Stipulation and opportunity for a hearing, such that the procedures prescribed in Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure ("Rule") 4001(d)(1), (2) and (3) shall not apply and the Stipulation may be approved without further notice or hearing.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Stipulation is approved in its entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that relief from the automatic stay is hereby granted as follows:

- a. The automatic stay is terminated in its entirety with respect to, and as to all parties to, to the case, pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California ("District Court"), titled *Coalition for a Sustainable Delta, et al. v. City of Stockton, and County of San Joaquin*, Case No. 2:09-CV-00466-JAM-KJN ("District Court Action") effective on August 29, 2014, if not sooner terminated on the effective date of a plan of adjustment.
- b. The termination of the automatic stay will enable Movants to pursue the District Court Action to judgment, but not to enforce any "claims," as such term is defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101(5), that are subject to treatment in a confirmed plan of adjustment (the "Claims").
- c. Any Claims adjudicated in the District Court Action shall be subject to the treatment of claims in the bankruptcy case, including through a confirmed plan of adjustment.

///

28 | ///

27

Case 12-32118 Filed 07/02/14 Doc 1613

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

- d. Movants will file a partial summary judgment motion before engaging in other discovery with respect to the remainder of the Clean Water Act and Endangered Species Act claims in the District Court Action.
- e. Except for the partial summary judgment motion described in subsection d. hereof, and subject to the approval of the District Court, Movants will agree to a reasonable period for mediation following the partial summary judgment ruling before engaging in extensive additional discovery in the District Court Action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that notwithstanding anything contained in Rule 4001(a)(3), this order shall be effective upon its entry on the docket, and the 14-day stay contemplated by Rule 4001(a)(3) shall not apply. If any provision of this order is later modified, vacated or stayed by subsequent order of this or any other Court for any reason, such modification, vacation or stay shall not affect the validity of any action taken pursuant to this order prior to the later of (a) the effective date of such modification, vacation or stay, or (b) the entry of the order pursuant to which such modification, vacation or stay was established.

Dated: July 02, 2014

United States Bankruptcy Judge

28